AIA Business Meeting

The Meeting called to order Wednesday, January 7, 2004 by vice president, Phil Craft in the absence of President Bob Reiners.

The attendance of the AIA members was low due to budget problems.

Those present included:
- AR, Ed Levi
- Del, Jeff Brothers
- FL, Jerry Hayes & Laurence Cutts
- IN, Kathleen Prough
- KY, Phil Craft
- MD, Jerry Fischer
- MN, Annemarie R Selsen
- MS, Harry Fulton
- NC, Don Hopkins
- PA, Dennis van Englesdorp
- TX, Paul Jackson
- VA, Keith Tignor
- WA, Jim Bach

Mr. Craft read the President Reiner’s address:

Greetings from fly over country! It was a brisk -5F this morning, so I am sure that you can appreciate my desire to be with you in San Antonio. I take refuge in the thought that Judy Carlson up in Bismarck is probably chillier than I am.

Since a significant amount of my time has now been dedicated to rodent control work, I had hoped that adequate funding existed in the apiary budget to allow for my attendance of the AIA meeting. In fact, we had a banner year in the bait business this year. We have manufactured approximately 137,000 pounds of 2% zinc phosphide prairie dog poison. It appears that someone has failed to remind the black tailed prairie dogs that they are supposed to be threatened or endangered. Actually, it is quite amazing how after two to three years of drought conditions that the little doggies have expanded their acreage. I guess that is good for job security.

In addition, life in a tyvec suit and a respirator does aid in weight loss. I had once contemplated a membership at the local YMCA. However, I have now discovered that tossing 137,000+ pounds of fifty pound bags of poison oats around delivers the same benefit as working out at the local gym and I get paid in the process.

Seriously, I do regret that I am unable to attend the meeting. There are a number of issues that concern me, which I had looked forward to discussing while at our meeting. Two of those concerns include continued development of coumaphos resistant varroa mites and TM tolerant AFB and lack of control compounds.
In closing, I hope that your meetings are productive and enjoyable. I have always enjoyed the AIA meetings and especially those times when we were able to meet with industry groups or researchers. I also regret that I will be unable to renew old acquaintances.

Secretaries Report
Ed Levi

The following items were completed since the December, 2002 meeting in Niagara Falls, Ontario.

1. Sent out thank you letters to the appropriate people involved in the Ontario conference.
2. Forwarded resolutions, passed at the Ontario conference, to the appropriate parties and carried on various communications relative to the same.
3. Published a state/provincial apiarist directory.
4. Conducted correspondence and miscellaneous duties.
5. Sent a state report questionnaire to all states and provinces.
6. Published the proceedings of the 2003 AIA Annual Conference held in Ontario.


Moved to accept by Jim Bach and seconded by Harry Fulton. Passed

Treasurer’s Report
President Craft reported that the report would be abbreviated due to the loss of our Treasurer. The Auditing Committee’s report would have to suffice.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

Resolutions Committee
Dennis van Englesdorp and Kathleen Prough presented no resolutions at this time but if any arise they will be presented to the full assembly later. It was decided that, whereas thank you letters are needed, resolutions for these are not necessary.

Auditing Committee
P. Jackson reported that the books were in order as follows:
Starting 1/1/03 $13,702.99
Deposits on 12/20/03 1,403.75
Balance, 8/31/03 $13,805.78

Ed Levi moved to accept the report, Jim Bach seconded, Passed

Research Committee
Laurence Cutts had nothing to report but will work on the MUMS issue
Sites Committee

Don Hopkins reported the options:
- Marion Ellis’ offer is still open at any time but best in summer
- AAPA is probably going to American Beekeeping Federation’s conference in Reno
- Another lab

After some discussion, it was decided that we’d go with AAPA to ABF’s meeting in Reno.

Nominations Committee

Report by Don Hopkins, as Chair nominated the following slate:

Officers:
- Phil Craft - President
- Harry Fulton - Vice President
- Ed Levi - Secretary
- Keith Tignor - Treasurer

Directors:

| 2003-2004 At large - Dennis van Englesdorp | 2004-2005 South - Jimmy Dunkley |

Paul Jackson moved to accept slate the by acclamation and this was seconded by Kathleen Prough, Passed

Awards Committee Report

Paul Jackson, Chair

Patti Elzen- to receive research award.

Other Business

- Honorary Membership additions:
  Laurence Cutts, Bob Cox.
  Moved by Jerry Fletcher and 2nd by Harry Fulton, Passed

- Possibility of wavier of registration fees for conferences. To be decided on one to one basis and more probable in regard to those who are doing the work (ie Sec and VP)
Introduction to Open discussion
Regulatory Responsibilities Concerning AHB and Migratory Beekeeping

Ed Levi

- Purpose is to have an open discussion regarding risks and responsibilities
- I have some opening remarks and comments that I’d like to cover
- I have also invited Dr. DeGrande-Hoffman to help us to maintain a scientific basis for the policies we choose to promote.
  - I strongly believe in scientific basis BUT, at the same time, I’ve witnessed that, while scientists are usually making very practical recommendations for beekeepers, they often have difficulty in making the jump in internalizing the role of regulators.
- I think that this is a serious topic that needs to be consistently on the minds of regulators.
  - The mission statement of my agency and my department within my agency basically states that it is our responsibility to protect both the agriculture and the citizens of our state.
  - With this in mind, years ago I put together an Inter-agency AHB task force for dealing with this as an exotic pest.
  - My presentations to the members of the task force were based on scientific gleanings from talks and articles.

While these presentations spoke to the value of the Honey Bees it went into depth of the possible ways Africanization can happen. On their Own Volition, Hitch-hiking, and Migratory Beekeeping. Since this forum is concerning Migratory Beekeeping, I’m going to explain that aspect of my presentations: I called these

“Factors Contributing to Introduction of AHB Through Migratory Beekeeping & Genetic Transference”

Factor A
California needs pollinators badly
Their $2.5 billion dollar almond crop depends on bees without regulatory slow downs.
Deregulated for the sake of the growers(?)
Bees can come from anywhere as long as they get there.

Factor B
Queen problems are becoming common.
This causes lots of supercedures.
Supercedures necessitates matings
Factor C
During a mating flight, queens mate with several drones.
• 1 AHB of 10 matings = 10% AHB offspring.
• Due to the relative shorter development time, Subsequent Queen replacement will result
  in an AHB Queen.
  (Loper & Schmit, ARS, Tucson)

Factor D
One-way Breeding Preferences
European queens will breed with any available drones;
Africanized colonies will produce abundant drones.
AHB queens will breed with only AHB drones, if available.
  (C. Taylor, Kansas)

Factor E
Laying Worker Effect
European laying workers only produce drones;
AHB laying workers can produce females, which in turn, can become virgin queens.
  (preliminary research)

Some discussion followed:
• Gloria DeGrande-Hoffmann concurred with what Ed said plus expounded on last
  factor in saying that the 10% factor is actually higher due to queen preference to
  use AHB sperm and the AHB sperms actually swim faster. Worked with Stan
  Snyder of UNC.
• Laurence Cutts said the reason for AHB drone preference is due to the smaller
  AHB drone can fly higher
• Paul Jackson said that the AHB queens and drones flew earlier
• Laurence says that they’ll get bees into California even they have to get them
  from Mexico.
• Almond growers are supporting research in Tucson to the tune of $90,000.
• Africanized colony found in Ohio, lots of small queens (inter-morph) it was an
  African colony that picked up a European genetics.

Some suggestions were made:
• Put up warning signs close to traps
• Put queen excluders on suspect hive entrances (after FABIS while waiting for lab)
• Paul says you’ve got to check them! 1) Public perception 2) to control the
  beekeepers. 3) Beekeeper liability.

Dr. DeGrande-Hoffman went on to give a presentation on AHB invasions and other
challenges maintaining European colonies in Africanized habitats.
Colony Invasions and Other Challenges to Maintaining European Colonies in Africanized Habitats

Gloria DeGrandi-Hoffman, and David Gilley, Carl Hayden Research Center, USDA-ARS, 2000 E. Allen Road, Tucson, AZ, 85719 and Judith Hooper, Pima Research, Tucson, AZ

Overview

1. Research on European honey bee colony invasions by swarms of African bees
   - Frequency in relation to colony condition and time of year

2. Introducing European queens into Africanized colonies
   - Success rates in relation to colony condition

Examining the influence of time of year and colony condition on invasion of European honey bee colonies by African bees.
Experimental Procedure:

1) Establish an apiary with Golden Italian queens; mark all queens.

2) Examine the outside of colonies daily for invasion swarms.

3) Examine the colonies every 10-14 days for marked queen.

4) Sample workers in invasion swarm and check for ovary development.

5) Record all usurpation events and state of the colony at the time of the take over.

Combined monthly totals and mean (± SE) monthly usurpation rates.

**The total number of usurpation events and monthly usurpation rates based upon colony condition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Usurpation Events</th>
<th>Usurpation rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thriving</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.94 ± 1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.83 ± 1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queenless</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.43 ± 7.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caged queen/Re-queened</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.80 ± 3.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Usurpation rates in colonies of various conditions during different times of year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colony</th>
<th>Usurpation Rates (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fall-Winter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thriving</td>
<td>4.95 ± 4.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>2.47 ± 2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Queenless</td>
<td>50.0 ± 28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caged queen/ re-queened</td>
<td>16.7 ± 9.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How do Africanized swarms succeed in usurping European colonies?
1. Locate weak and queenless colonies
2. Settle near target colony without being attacked
3. Bypass nest defenses to enter next
4. Eliminate host queen
5. Bring swarm queen into host nest
6. Get host workers to accept swarm queen

Experiments were run to show efficacy rates of requeening Africanized colonies with European queens under various conditions and times.

Degree of ovarian development in workers from invasions swarms. Thirty workers from 10 invasion swarms were examined

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage of ovarian development*</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Stages of ovarian development are according to Velthuis 1970: Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 13: 377-394.
Introduction Success from Trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Colony Type</th>
<th>Success Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Honey flow</td>
<td>EHB</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AHB</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>EHB</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AHB</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>EHB</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AHB</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparisons of Amounts of Compound-O Between Trials (Queens laying for 6 weeks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Colony Type</th>
<th>Queens Sampled</th>
<th>Average Amount of Compound-O (k counts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Honey flow</td>
<td>EHB</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.7 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AHB</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.4 ± 10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>EHB</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.6 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AHB</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.5 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall flow</td>
<td>EHB</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.6 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AHB</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.1 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Varroa Control research at Weslaco Bee Lab
Patti Elzen

Beekeepers should expect sub-lethal effects with pesticides both in and out of hives
USDA doesn’t test chemicals as that’s the job of the states
Weslaco is again a separate unit with Patti as Interim leader
Just set a 5 yr plan (Oscar Plan) has to be careful to not duplicate other programs
Weslaco has been assigned as the IPM lab.

In IPM it’s best to have as many tools in the arsenal as possible.
They are doing a lot of screening of compounds to evaluate for Varroa control

Looking at a new formulation ApiLife Var and ApiGard
Are thymol compounds any good? ApiGard is easier to apply compared to ApiLife Var.
The thymol compounds are good under right conditions. It’s a good tool but to be
combined with others - not to be recommended on it’s own. As in all essential oils, they
are temperature sensitive.
Statistics are showing an increased resistance to coumaphos.
For approvals, there needs to be a need! That’s even more important than efficacy tests.
She will help getting section 18 especially if you can show resistance

Formic is also a good tool

Varroa is still a bigger problem than SHB
Bio control (Lambert) is done – fungal

An Overview of What’s Going on in the Labs
Mark Felhaufer

Beltsville is receiving approximately 2000 samples per year.

AHB Identification has been transferred to the Tucson lab as of May, 2004.

Packaging of Apicure
- New bag is Bob Steven still interested ?(concerned about liability)
- There’s a renewed interest of commercial beekeepers due to resistance of Apistan
  and CheckMite+

Tylosin
- Hoping for registration in one more year unless pressure is brought to bear on
  EPA
- Someone needs to take a lead on the MUMS (FDA) act to promote Minor species
  and Minor use Animal Health Act
- Contact John G. Babish jgb@cornell.edu to push.

Residues in brood comb
- Found plenty of residues of fluvalinate and coumaphos in combs even when
  proper use of strips were employed.
- Some were found as high as 2,693ppm
- Due to cocoons the ppm could be nearly doubled in the wax.
- Contaminated honey wasn’t the issue but more the sub-lethal effects on queens
Can Vd transmit virus? Judy Chen

- Using Kashmir Bee virus
- As she added more virus infected mites to a virus free colony she found that more pupae gained virus
- Only found pupae that tested positive when at least one positive mite was present...without a positive mite there were no positive pupae.
- The percentage of infested mites increase when they shared cells with more infected mites

Apiguard; a Thymol preparation in control of Varroa
Frank Eischen

It’s being promoted by Vita Ltd. (Europe) (Dr. Max Watkins)
In a food grade gel (patty)

3 trials at various times and venues:

Minnesota in early summer
- 70 degrees is the minimum temp
- Showed high mites in control= 523
- Oxalic acid was effective=155
- But Apiguard was better =30
- CheckMite+ = 8

Didn’t show any difference in population or brood

Texas in July
- Control =282
- Apiguard =15
- CheckMite+ = 12.5

Didn’t show change in frames of bees or brood

Upper temps didn’t seem to matter
Seems that contact action is equally important as volatility

Miscellaneous comments:
- The gel formulation is currently impeding the possibility of EPA approval.
- Not sure where that stands at this time.

- With ApiLife Var there is some propolising
- With ApiGuard there doesn’t seem to be any
Discussion on Pesticide Issues
Harry Fulton

Illegal use of registered chemicals
Illegal use of unregistered chemicals
Illegal use of Antibiotics for AFB
Illegal residues
Harm to colonies
Harm to applicators

Chemicals involved

- For Mite control
  Amitraz (tactic and Ovasyn)
  Coumaphos (Co-ral)
  Essential Oils
  Formic Acid
  Others as mentioned

- For SHB Control
  Ant Bait stations (imidacloprid or fipronil)

- For Foulbrood control/prevention
  Tylosin

AIA’s role in registration process

Section 18
ApiLife Var
Mite a Way
CheckMite+

24 C’s

Section 3 Registration Process
Apistan
Menthol Crystals

What is or should be AIA’s involvement?

What is or should be the individual bee inspector’s involvement?
  Generally most have to report the misuse of pesticides.
Some Sharing of State’s Program Situations

Tennessee has been in rough shape forever due to no income tax. Ray was laid off. Program isn’t cut, just a laid off position. Maybe will re-open the position. Gray Haun is interested in the program and he is in a supervisory position. He acts as a part-time person in that area.

Ohio - Gordon leaves at the end January. There are still has 4 full time inspectors and most counties have county paid inspectors. (There are a few counties w/o beekeepers and a couple with beekeepers but w/o an inspector.

Alabama has reinstated the program with two partial time inspectors who were Nursery Inspectors with interest in bees.

Texas took a 10% cut. Lost one inspector by attrition.

Kentucky is operating on the same budget of 15 years ago

Washington is totally stripped of regulations except bee registration. $15,000 comes in from registrations. Used to get $75,000 from pollination fees. Jim is a pesticide investigator and keeps him available to watch pesticides in bees. He’s to keep up with the newest pest controls in apiary area.

North Carolina got a new apiculturist at the university

How do we support our programs? The best support comes from the beekeepers and secondly from the growers needing pollination and other special situations like AHB, etc.

Residues in Queen Cups – Conclusions

Jeff Pettis

Residues may:

- Reduce completion of queen cells by cell builder colonies.
- Result in smaller, slower developing queens.
- Reduce number of acceptable queens.
- Result in queens that are poorly mated under stress conditions
Protocol For Testing Varroa Mite Resistance
to Apistan and CheckMite+
Jeff Pettis

Materials:
- Wide-mouth pint jars with screened tops
- 3 X 5” cards
- Checkmite+ or Apistan strips cuts into 3/8” pieces (new each time!)
- Stapler
- Soapy water or alcohol for wash
- Filter paper or white cloth

Procedure:
- Shake bees from 1-12 hives into a “catch” container
- Scoop approx. 150 bees, not more, into jar with either Checkmite+ or Apistan piece stapled to card
- Leave for 6 hours in room to warm temp (30c)
- After 6 hours, count the number of dead mites
- After counting the dead mites, wash the bees in the jar with either soapy water or alcohol
- Pour the wash through white cloth or filter paper
- Count total mites

Analysis:
- Count total mites and calculate percentage (6 hour mite count/total mites in jar X 100=percentage of acaricide effectiveness)